

# Terrestrial arthropods of the Domica Cave system and the Ardovská Cave (Slovak Karst) - principal microhabitats and diversity

LUBOMÍR KOVÁČ, ANDREJ MOCK, PETER ĽUPTÁČIK, VLADIMÍR KOŠEL, PETER FENĎA, JAROSLAV SVATOŇ & PETER MAŠÁN

Kováč, L., Mock, A., Ľuptáčík, P., Košel, V., Fend'a, P., Svatoň, J. & Mašán, P.: Terrestrial arthropods of the Domica Cave system and the Ardovská Cave (Slovak Karst) - principal microhabitats and diversity. Contributions to Soil Zoology in Central Europe I. Tajovský, K., Schlaghamerský, J. & Pižl, V. (eds.): 61-70. ISB AS CR, České Budějovice, 2005. ISBN 80-86525-04-X

In 1997 an extensive biospeleological study was conducted on the structure of terrestrial arthropod communities of the Domica Cave system and the Ardovská Cave, both located in the Slovak Karst (Slovakia). Pitfall trapping with different fixation liquids and extraction of baits and organic debris (guano, rotten wood) were used as principal collecting methods. In total, over 140 species were registered during this initial period of study. Among them there were peculiar troglotic forms, that is the paligrade *Eukoenia spelaea* (Peyerimhoff, 1902), the millipede *Typhloiulus* sp. and the springtails *Arrhopalites buekkensis* Loksa, 1969, *A. slovacicus* Nosek, 1975, *Deuteraphorura* cf. *kratochvili* (Nosek, 1963) and *Pseudosinella aggtelekiensis* (Stach, 1929). The study revealed great differences in arthropod communities between particular sites of both closely situated subterranean localities due to different microhabitat structure. Decaying wood, bat guano and sinter surfaces with percolating water represent the basic source of organic matter and nutrients for fauna within the studied caves. A total absence of troglitics and altered arthropod communities were observed within the passages in the Domica Cave open to the public. The potential human impact upon the assemblages of terrestrial arthropods is discussed.

Keywords: Biospeleology, Arthropoda, Slovak Karst, diversity, human impact.

Lubomír Kováč, Institute of Biology and Ecology, Faculty of Science, University of P.J.Šafárik, Moyzesova 11, SK-041 54 Košice, and Institute of Zoology, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Löfflerova 10, SK-040 01 Košice, Slovakia.

E-mail: kovaclu@science.upjs.sk

Andrej Mock, Peter Ľuptáčík, Institute of Biology and Ecology, Faculty of Science, University of P. J. Šafárik, Moyzesova 11, SK-041 54 Košice, Slovakia.

Vladimír Košel, Peter Fend'a, Department of Zoology, Faculty of Science, Comenius University, Mlynská dolina B-1, SK-842 15 Bratislava, Slovakia.

Jaroslav Svatoň, Kernova 8/37, SK-036 01 Martin, Slovakia.

Peter Mašán, Institute of Zoology, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Dúbravská cesta 9, SK-842 16 Bratislava, Slovakia.

## Introduction

Territory of the Slovak Karst is geomorphologically linked with the Aggtelek Karst in Hungary, both being part of the same geomorphological unit. The biospeleology of the Aggtelek Karst is well developed especially due to extensive observations of fauna in the Baradla Cave by Dudich (1932). Up to 1994, 435 animal species had been registered in this cave (Zicsi et al., 1994). Among the most peculiar terrestrial Arthropoda inhabiting this cave are the paligrade *Eukoenia spelaea vagvoelgyii* (Szalay, 1954), the dipluran *Plusiocampa spelaea* Stach, 1929, the collembolan *Arrhopalites aggtelekiensis* Stach, 1929 and *Pseudosinella aggtelekiensis* Stach, 1929, the linyphiid spider *Porrhomma profundum* Dahl, 1939 and

the carabid beetle *Duvalius hungaricus hungaricus* (Csiki, 1903).

The history of biospeleology of the Domica Cave system and the Ardovská Cave is not so rich compared to the Baradla Cave. Nevertheless, 25 terrestrial arthropod species had been found in these caves up to 1995 (Table 1), some of them closely related to hypogean spaces. Noteworthy are the spider *Porrhomma profundum*, the dipluran *Plusiocampa spelaea* and the collembolan *Arrhopalites slovacicus*, all considered the troglitic species. Recent papers made this list much longer. Collembola of the three above mentioned caves were studied in detail by Kováč (1998a,b; 2000a). Mocek (1997) reported the cavernicolous phorid dipteran *Triphleba antricola* from the Domica and the Ardovská Caves. In both caves the

Table 1. List of arthropods of the Domica Cave (DC), Čertova Diera Cave (CDC) and Ardovská Cave (AC) based on literature published up till 1995 (● - troglobitic species, ○ - eutroglophilous species).

Araneae	
● <i>Porrhomma profundum</i> Dahl, 1939	DC: Miller and Kratochvil (1940), Košel (1994); CDC: Košel (1994)
<i>Porrhomma convexum</i> (Westring, 1851).	DC: Miller and Kratochvil (1940) – orig. “ <i>Porrhomma pygmaeum</i> f. <i>proserpina</i> “, Košel (1994)
Opiliones	
○ <i>Mitostoma chrysmelas</i> (Hermann, 1804)	CDC: Košel (1994)
Acari	
○ <i>Oribellopsis cavatica</i> (Kunst, 1962)	CDC: Kunst (1962)
○ <i>Uroobovella advena</i> (Trägårdh, 1912)	CDC: Košel (1994)
Isopoda	
○ <i>Mesoniscus graniger</i> (Frivaldszky, 1865)	DC: Staněk (1932) – orig. „ <i>Asellus species?</i> prope <i>cavaticus</i> Schiödte“, Kettner (1936), Frankenberger (1940), Gulička (1985); Košel (1994), CDC: Košel (1994), AC: Gulička (1985), Košel (1994)
Chilopoda	
<i>Cryptops parisi</i> Brolemann, 1920	AC: Gulička (1985), Országh et al. (1994)
<i>Lithobius aeruginosus</i> L. Koch, 1862	AC: Országh et al. (1994)
Diplura	
?● <i>Plusiocampa spelaea</i> Stach, 1929	AC: Paclt (1956)
Collembola	
○ <i>Ceratophysella denticulata</i> (Bagnall, 1941)	DC: Nosek (1975a)
<i>Protaphorura armata</i> (Tullberg, 1869)	AC: Paclt (1957)
? <i>Protaphorura trogliphila</i> (Nosek, 1975)	DC: Nosek (1975a)
<i>Deuteraphorura</i> sp.	AC: Paclt (1957) – orig. „ <i>Onychiurus pseudinermis</i> “
<i>Mesaphorura krausbaueri</i> (Börner, 1901)	DC: Nosek (1975a)
○ <i>Folsomia candida</i> Willem, 1902	DC: Paclt (1957)
<i>Folsomia fimetaria</i> Linné, 1758	DC: Nosek (1975a)
<i>Lepidocyrtus institatus</i> Handschin, 1924	DC: Nosek (1975a)
○ <i>Heteromurus nitidus</i> (Templeton, 1835)	DC: Paclt (1957) – orig. „ <i>H. nitidus margaritaceus</i> “
<i>Megalothorax minimus</i> Willem, 1900	DC: Nosek (1975a)
● <i>Arrhopalites slovacicus</i> Nosek, 1975	DC: Nosek (1975a,b)
Coleoptera	
<i>Choleva glauca</i> Britten, 1918	AC: Růžička and Vávra (1993)
○ <i>Duvalius hungaricus sziliczensis</i> (Csiki, 1912)	AC: Hürka et al. (1989).
○ <i>Quedius mesomelinus</i> (Marsham, 1802)	CDC: Košel (1994); AC: Gulička (1975)
Lepidoptera	
<i>Scoliopteryx libatrix</i> (Linné, 1758)	AC: Gulička (1975)
<i>Triphosa dubitata</i> (Linné, 1758)	AC: Gulička (1975)

peculiar arachnid *Eukoenenia spelaea* (Palpigradida) was observed (Kováč, 1999; Kováč et al., 2002). Országh (2000) reported the chilopods *Lithobius austriacus* and *Cryptops parisi* from the Ardovská Cave. New data on sciarid dipterans were presented by Košel (2001). Šustek (2001) observed the cavernicolous staphylinid beetle *Atheta spelaea* in the Ardovská Cave. In the distributional study of the isopod *Mesoniscus graniger* Mlejnek and Ducháč (2001, 2003) provided new data on the presence of this species referring to all three caves, partly based on our collections from 1997. Mock et al. (2002) stressed the occurrence of the peculiar troglobitic millipede *Typhloiulus* sp. in the Domica Cave. Finally, the opilionid fauna of the caves was surveyed by Stašiov et al. (2003) and the oribatid mite communities by Euptáčík (2003). The Domica Cave and the Čertova Diera Cave belong to the Domica-Baradla Cave system, both located in Slovakia. They were selected for a more extensive study of those hypogean arthropods that primarily inhabit terrestrial

habitats. In addition, the neighbouring Ardovská Cave was included in the study because of its natural environment devoided of human influence. The aims of the present paper are (1) to outline arthropod diversity of the Domica Cave system and the Ardovská Cave based on extensive collecting in 1997, (2) to analyse the presence of troglobites, endemic and relic species in the context of their geographical distribution, (3) to characterise principal microhabitats of the arthropods in studied subterranean spaces, and (4) to assess possible human impact on arthropod diversity and community composition in the parts of the Domica Cave open to the public.

#### Study area and localities

The Domica Cave (DC), the Čertova Diera Cave (CDC) and the Ardovská Cave (AC) are located on the south-western margin of the Silická Plateau in the Slovak Karst National Park and Biosphere Reserve.

They were created in the Middle-Triassic pale Wetterstein limestones of the Silica-Aggtelek Nappe. From the south-west the area is framed by non-karstic Neogene gravel sediments (Bella, 2000; Kučera, 1964). The Domica Cave and the Čertova Diera Cave belong to the Domica-Baradla Cave system extending over 25 000 m. The Domica Cave system (DC and CDC) was created along tectonic fissures by the activity of two subterranean streams - Styx and its tributary the Domický Stream - in three developmental levels, the upper one being genetically the youngest. The total length of the Domica Cave system is 5 900 m.

The entrance of the Domica is situated 339 m a. s. l., grid reference 48° 28' 36" N, 20° 29' 09" E. It is a dynamic cave with air temperature ranging between +10.2°C and +11.4°C, and humidity between 95% and 98% (Bella, 2000; Bella and Holúbek, 1999). Following sites in this cave were selected for the study: 1 - „Skupina sôch“, 2 - „Majkov dóm“, 3 - „Lono Matky Zeme“, 4 - „Suchá chodba“, 5 - „Dóm indických pagôd“, 6 - „Sieň netopierov“, 7 - „Spojovacia chodba“ (Samsonove stĺpy - Japonská čajovňa), 8 - „Panenská chodba“. The Domica is a show cave with 20-30 thousands of visitors yearly (Macko, 1996). All investigated sites were situated within the parts open to the public. The cave is characteristic by large heaps of bat guano at the sites 5 and 6. They were created by the activity of summer bat colonies dominated by the Mediterranean horseshoe bat (*Rhinolophus euryale*) (Uhrin et al., 1996).

The entrance of the Čertova Diera Cave is located at 375 m a. s. l. (Droppa, 1961), grid reference 48° 28' 53" N, 20° 27' 46" E. The air temperature in the cave is about +8.5°C (unpublished data). Three sites were selected for the study: 1 - „Vstupná sieň“, 2 - „Zadný dóm netopierov“, 3 - „Hlavný dóm netopierov“. Recently the cave is a well preserved part of the Domica Cave system consisting of intact cave habitats not open to the public. The bottom of site 3 is covered by a thick layer of old bat guano originating from the summer bat colonies of Schreiber's bat (*Miniopterus schreibersi*). Several decades ago this species inhabited the cave in many individuals (over 1000), but recently, in spite of their protection, its number has dropped (Gaisler and Hanák, 1973; Uhrin et al., 1996).

The Ardovská Cave is roughly 1 600 m long, its entrance at 314 m a. s. l. (Bella and Holúbek, 1999), grid reference 48° 31' 20" N, 20° 25' 23" E. A very restricted entrance leads to an environmentally stable cave, where a constant temperature of +10.8°C and relative air humidity of about 97% are maintained in the dark zone (Droppa, 1961). The whole cave consists of principally two levels of passages created by underground waters (Kučera, 1964). A temporal stream currently occurs in the lower and younger level of the cave. Arthropods were collected in the following sites: 1 - „Vstupný komín“, 2 - „Vstupná chodba“ (beginning of the passage), 3 - „Vstupná chodba“ (middle part of the passage), 4 - „Hlavná chodba“, 5 - „Zrútený dóm“,

6 - „Zadná sieň“, 7 - „Dlhá chodba“. Our investigations were concentrated on the genetically older upper level (sites 2 - 6) with patches of bottom sediment rich in humus, except site 7 that was placed on the younger level of the cave. The cave is inhabited by small bat colonies consisting of several species (Gaisler and Hanák, 1973). Guano is not accumulated in heaps, but is rather dispersed in small patches on the cave floor in several parts, especially of the upper fossil level.

## Materials and methods

In this paper we present results of investigations on terrestrial arthropods of the Domica Cave system and the Ardovská Cave carried out in 1997. Four collecting methods were used to survey the arthropod fauna of these caves:

- 1) pitfall trap exposure - 2 traps filled with 96% ethylalcohol and 1 with 4% water solution of formaldehyde were exposed at each site for two months,
- 2) bait exposure - one bait consisted of small pieces of biscuit was exposed at each site for two months and subsequently extracted in a high-gradient apparatus (Crossley and Blair, 1991),
- 3) extraction of organic matter (bat guano, rotten wood) and fine cave sediments in the apparatus as in the previous method,
- 4) collecting with plankton net from the water surface of the underground streams in the Domica Cave.

## Results and Discussion

### Diversity

The list of terrestrial arthropods of the three studied underground localities based on the initial sampling in 1997 (Table 2) comprises 149 taxa (including not further determined taxonomic groups). However, many taxa are just random cave dwellers, especially those that were collected at one site only. The reasons of such high diversity are several. Firstly, many species were detected only in the parts communicating with above-ground habitats, e.g. CDC site 1, AC - 1 and partly also DC - 7. In these parts, the forms not associated with hypogean habitats prevailed. Secondly, the presence of easily decomposed artificial organic matter (straw) significantly increased the total species number in the Domica Cave (DC-7). In this microhabitat also cave species occurred, while above-ground forms dominated. Thirdly, the Styx as an active subterranean stream of the Domica appeared to be an important means of transport for some epigeic Collembola. Small mammals may enrich arthropod diversity by passive transport in the fur of either free living edaphic forms or ectoparasites. In the case of a small mammal cadaver (CDC-2), except fleas, three species of Collembola and two gamasid mite species were registered. And finally, bat guano accumulations hosted a broad spectrum of arthropods, depending on the stage of substrate decomposition.

Many forms recorded are regular cave dwellers in localities under study. Most of them may be classified as troglaphiles or guanophiles, or even eutroglaphiles; e.g. the mites *Oribellopsis cavatica*, *Parasitus loricatus* and *Uroobovella advena*, the isopod *Mesoniscus graniger*, the collembolans *Folsomia candida* and *Protaphorura armata*, the trechine beetle *Trechus austriacus*, the dipteran fly *Bradysia forficulata*. Among the diversified arthropod communities several troglobites occurred: the palpi-grade *Eukoenenia spelaea*, the diplopod *Typhloiulus* sp., and the collembolans *Arrhopalites buekkensis*, *A. slovacicus*, *Deuteraphorura* cf. *kratochvili*, and *Pseudosinella aggtelekiensis*.

Several species may be classified as endemics with their distribution limited to the Western Carpathians or even to a smaller area. Those are the four above-mentioned troglobitic Collembola (Kováč 2000b). Troglobitic species are widely accepted as Tertiary or better „thermophilic“ relics, as they exhibit morphological adaptations for cave life that apparently needed a long time to evolve (e.g. Vandel, 1965; Culver, 1982), and their relatives are primarily distributed in the tropics. At least they may be considered relics of one of the warm inter-glacials of the Pleistocene period. On the other hand, we collected several mite species that have boreo-montane or arcto-alpine distribution, which mainly inhabit caves in Central Europe. Such forms may be classified as glacial relics, i. e. remnants of cold Pleistocene periods. Similarly to the thermophilic relics they are preferentially cave dwellers in Central Europe. The examples are the rhagidiid mites *Coccorhagidia pittardi* and *Robustocheles hilli*, the latter recorded in Europe for the first time, up till present known from North America only (Zacharda, 1980 and pers. comm.). This is also the case of some *Porrhomma* species (Vandel, 1965). Also the uropodid mite *Uroobovella advena* fits well in this category, dwelling in marmot nests (*Marmotta marmotta*) in the alpine zone of the High Tatras (Mašán, 2000).

Undoubtedly, the overall arthropod diversity will increase with additional collecting of parietal fauna of the cave entrances. Further data from observations within the studied hypogean localities will be summarised in another paper. Among others, they revealed the occurrence of additional peculiar arthropod representatives, like the opilionid *Mitostoma chrysomelas* (Hermann, 1804), which is an important predatory species of the Ardovska Cave. In the same cave the epigeic symphylan *Symphylella major* Scheller, 1961 was observed as a dweller of cave sediments. The edaphic species *Stylopauropus pedunculatus* (Lubbock, 1867) is an inhabitant of the rotten wood in the Domica Cave. Here, in the long passage connected with the Baradla Cave an abundant population of the spider *Porrhomma profundum* M. Dahl, 1939 was detected. A not yet identified dipluran specimen was collected in the same passage on rotten wood.

The overall composition of terrestrial arthropod communities of the studied caves is mainly influenced by their geographical location. They are situated in the Slovak-Aggtelek Karst region that hosts, together with the Bükk Mts., the most diverse cavernicolous fauna within the Western Carpathians (Košel, 2000)

### Microhabitat preferences

Rotten wood, bat guano and sinter surfaces with percolating water represent the basic source of organic matter and nutrients within the studied caves. The sediment of the fossil level of the Ardovska Cave needs special attention because of the presence of numerous populations of several peculiar cave Arthropoda.

Decaying wood offers permanently palatable wooden material that is an important substrate inhabited by visible microbial colonies, the saprophagous isopod *Mesoniscus graniger* and dipteran larvae. Isolated wood pieces completely covered by isopod casts were a good indicator of the activity of this faunal component. In decomposition of the wood earthworms played a role in some places, where their presence was evident by aggregated casts on clay sediment around the wood. The structure of earthworm communities in the Domica cave was studied by Zajonc (1961). Microphytophages were an important component of the fauna associated with rotten wood, especially the oribatid mites *Dissorhina ornata* and *Multioppia* cf. *glabra*, and the collembolans *Ceratophysella bengtssoni*, *Folsomia candida*, *Megalothorax minimus*, *Mesaphorura italica*, and *M. macrochaeta*. Often, also forms with higher locomotive activity, as the oribatid mite *Damaeus lengersdorfi* and the collembolans *Pseudosinella aggtelekiensis* and *Heteromurus nitidus* may be observed on the surface of the wood. Among the main predators the gamasid mites *Cyrtolaelaps chiropterae*, *Paragarmania dendritica*, *Prozercon traegardhi*, *Veigaia exigua*, and *V. nemorensis* were observed. Of the more mobile and bigger predators the staphylinid *Quedius mesomelinus* and the carabid *Trechus austriacus* were the most important.

Large guano heaps are characteristic for the Domica Cave. Fresh guano in the first stages of decomposition (usually the central surface of the pile) is covered with visible pale growths of microfungi. Leached older guano was certainly palatable for the saprophagous *M. graniger*. From the microphytophages oribatid mites *M.* cf. *glabra* and *Oribellopsis cavatica*, the uropodid mite *Uroobovella advena*, and the collembolans *Ceratophysella bengtssoni*, *C. denticulata*, *Deuteraphorura* cf. *kratochvili*, *F. candida* and *Protaphorura armata* were associated with this microhabitat, and therefore may be classified as guanophiles. Of the smaller predatory arthropods, the gamasid mites *Cyrtolaelaps chiropterae* and *C. mucronatus* were the most abundant. The more mobile predators were similar to those of the rotten wood.

Sinter surfaces with percolating water were another microhabitat inhabited by specific, mainly more mobile fauna. Nutrients and microflora transported by water

from above-ground is possibly the food source for the troglobitic collembolans *Arrhopalites buekkensis* and *Pseudosinella aggtelekiensis*, often encountered on such surfaces. The leiodid beetle *Choleva glauca* was observed in higher numbers in this microhabitat too. Several limited parts of the fossil level of the Ardovská Cave provide this type of microhabitat.

Several outstanding forms were extracted from the sediment of the fossil floor of the Ardovská Cave. The substratum was inhabited by a dense population of the palpi-grade *Eukoenenia spelaea* (Kováč et al., 2002), the collembolan *Deuteraphorura* cf. *kratochvili* and *Pseudosinella aggtelekiensis*, and a broad variety of other troglophilous arthropods (Table 2). One possible reason for the unusual diversity of cavernicolous animals is that the Ardovská Cave offers a suitable and stable cave microclimate. Moreover, the dark-coloured substrate is rich in organic matter, partly consisting of charcoal ash originating in a Neolithic settlement (Kučera, 1974) and in some patches also of bat guano. This thin layer of organic material is evidently mixed with limestone grains by the activity of earthworms and especially of enchytraeids. The acidity of the substratum is comparable to pale clay sediments of the Domica Cave, ranging between pH 8.2 – 8.6. However, the organic matter content of the sediment was evidently higher in the Ardovská Cave – 2.3 % vs. 0.98 % (Nováková et al., this volume).

### Human impact

The environmental conditions in the Domica Cave enabled us to discuss the problem of human impact upon the cave arthropod communities. Show parts of the caves are extremely rich in species, which is remarkable especially when considering Collembola assemblages. Part of the species has been transported from above-ground habitats by hypogean streams. A great variety of forms was associated with straw as fast decomposing organic matter. Not very surprisingly the troglobites *Arrhopalites buekkensis*, *Deuteraphorura* cf. *kratochvili* and *Pseudosinella aggtelekiensis* were totally absent in the show parts of the Domica in contrast to the Čertova Diera Cave and the Ardovská Cave that are not open to public. Among the frequent species in the Domica show parts was the collembolan *Heteromurus nitidus*, likely an ecological vicariant of the troglobitic *P. aggtelekiensis*. *H. nitidus* is a polymorphic species with wide ecological valence (Gruia, 1998) known to be attracted by earthworm excreta (Salmon and Ponge, 2001). However, the presence of earthworms is not the determining factor of the occurrence of *H. nitidus* in particular cave sites. The activity of earthworms is apparent also in the Ardovská Cave, but this collembolan has not been found in this cave yet. A broad spectrum of saprotrophic microfungi detected in the Domica Cave (Nováková et al., this volume) is probably important as potential food for the ubiquitous *H. nitidus*.

Possible reasons of such an alteration of the collembolan community are probably connected with changes in the microflora. „Lamp flora“ around lamp bulbs – mainly aerophilic green algae (Nováková et al., this volume) may attract originally epigeic fauna. This option is less probable, since only a the poor species richness of “lamp flora“ was detected in the cave due to systematic chemical removal of green patches around light bulbs (Nováková et al., this volume). Passive transport of allochthonous species on footwear and clothes of visitors is also less probable because of rather low attendance of the cave by the public (Macko, 1996). The most serious factor seems to be anthropogenic eutrophication of the cave from the Styx tributary that collects rainfall waters from agriculturally used watershed. During heavy floods in 1955, 1964, 1977, and 1981 surface soil and plant debris were transported into the cave and covered its floor by a thick layer in large spaces along the stream (Macko, 1996). In spite of the fact that after each event the allochthonous sediments were removed, the floods undoubtedly represented an important route of transport way for nutrients, allochthonous microflora and invertebrate fauna from the adjacent watershed, mainly consisting of pastures. During the 1980s retention polders were constructed in the watershed thus considerably reducing torrential waters entering the cave. However, at present the underground tributary of the Styx still enables fauna to enter the cave during heavier rainfalls, when even amphibians appear in the cave (unpublished data).

### Conclusion

It is necessary to analyse the chemical composition (pH, nutrient content) of various cave substrates (wood, guano at different stages of decomposition, fine sediments) to explain the relationships between abundance and diversity of arthropod communities, the abiotic environment, and other biotic components of the cave ecosystem. Data on the structure of microflora communities may be very important to shed more light on the principal interactions between cave organic matter, microflora and cave fauna.

### Acknowledgements

The study was supported by grant of the Slovak Scientific Grant Agency (VEGA) no. 1/0441/03, and partly also by grants VEGA no.1/9203/02 and 1/8003/01. We are grateful to the Slovak Cave Administration (Liptovský Mikuláš) and the Administration of the Slovak Karst National Park (Brzotín) for their valuable help with the field work.

We are very much indebted to the following specialists, who considerably improved the quality of the paper by identification of some arthropod groups and provided us with comments on the relationships of particular species to underground habitats: M. Zacharda (České Budějovice, Czech Republic) – rhagidiid mites,

U. Scheller (Järpas, Sweden) – Symphyla and Pauropoda, I. Országh (Bratislava, Slovakia) – Chilopoda, Z. Šustek (Bratislava, Slovakia) – carabid and staphylinid beetles, K. Hürka † (Praha, Czech Republic) – trechine beetles, C. Lienhard (Genève, Switzerland) – Psocoptera, and M. Stanko (Košice, Slovakia) – Siphonaptera.

## References

- Bella, P., 2000. Slovensko – sprístupnené jaskyne. Martin, 64 pp.
- Bella, P., Holúbek, P., 1999. Zoznam jaskýň na Slovensku. Ministerstvo životného prostredia Slovenskej republiky, Ekopress, Bratislava, 268 pp.
- Crossley, D.A., Blair, J.M., 1991. A high efficiency, "low-technology" Tullgren-type extractor for soil microarthropods. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 34: 187-192
- Culver, D.C., 1982. Cave life – evolution and ecology. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts and London, England, 189 pp.
- Droppa, A., 1961. Dómica - Baradla, jaskyne predhistorického človeka. Šport, Bratislava, 150 pp.
- Dudich, E., 1932. Biologie der Aggteleker Tropfsteinhöhle „Baradla“, in Ungarn. Wien, Speläolog. Monographien, 13: 1-246
- Frankenberger, Z., 1940. O jeskynním isopodu - *Mesoniscus graniger* Friv. z Dómice. *Věstník Král. české společ. nauk*, 1939, 20: 12
- Gaisler, J., Hanák, V., 1973. Aperçu de chauves-souris des grottes slovaques. *Slovenský kras, Liptovský Mikuláš*, 11: 73-84
- Gruia, M., 1998. Sur la faune de collemboles de l'écosystème exokarstique et karstique de Movile (Dobrogea du Sud, Mangalia, Roumanie). *Mémoires de Biospéologie*, 25: 45-52
- Gulička, J., 1975. Fauna slovenských jaskýň. *Slovenský kras, Liptovský Mikuláš*, 13: 37-83
- Gulička, J., 1985. Pôdna a jaskynná makrofauna krasových pohorí Západných Karpát. (1). *Slovenský kras, Liptovský Mikuláš*, 23: 89-127
- Hürka, K., Janák, J., Moravec, P., 1989. Neue Erkenntnisse zur Taxonomie, Variabilität, Bionomie und Verbreitung der slowakischen und ungarischen *Duvalius*-Arten (Coleoptera, Carabidae, Trechini). *Acta Univ. Carolinae - Biologica* 33: 353-400
- Kettner, R., 1936. Přehled dosavadní výzkumné činnosti Krasové komise KČST. *Krásky Slovenska*, 15: 71-79
- Košel, V., 1994. Živočíšstvo jaskýň. In: Rozložník, M., Karasová, E. (eds.), *Chránená krajinná oblasť - biosférická rezervácia Slovenský kras, Osveta, Martin*, p. 240-245
- Košel, V., 2000. Regionalizácia jaskynnej a krasovej fauny Západných Karpát. In: Mock, A., Kováč, L., Fulín, M. (eds.), *Fauna jaskýň*. Košice, p. 67-84
- Košel, V., 2001. The Sciaridae (Diptera) from caves in Slovakia. *Acta Universitatis Carolinae Biologica*, 45: 73-78
- Kováč, L., 1998a. Chvostoskoky (Hexapoda, Collembola) Ardovskej jaskyne. *Natura Carpatica*, 39: 95-101
- Kováč, L., 1998b. Chvostoskoky (Hexapoda, Collembola) jaskyne Dómica. *Slovenský kras, Liptovský Mikuláš*, 36: 159-165
- Kováč, L., 1999c. *Eukoenuia spelaea* (Peyerimhoff, 1902) - a cave dwelling palpigrae species (Arachnida, Palpigradida) from the Slovak Karst. In: Tajovský, K., Pižl, V. (eds.), *Soil Zoology in Central Europe. Proc. 5th Central European Workshop on Soil Zoology*, České Budějovice, p. 157-160
- Kováč, L., 2000a. Porovnanie spoločností chvostoskokov (Hexapoda, Collembola) v jaskyniach Ardovskej, Dómica a Čertova diera v Slovenskom krase. In: Mock, A., Kováč, L., Fulín, M. (eds.), *Fauna jaskýň*. Košice, p. 85-94
- Kováč, L., 2000b. A review of the distribution of cave Collembola (Hexapoda) in the Western Carpathians. *Mémoires de Biospéologie*, 27: 71-76
- Kováč, L., Mock, A., Luptáčik, P., Palacios-Vargas, J.G., 2002. Distribution of *Eukoenuia spelaea* (Peyerimhoff, 1902) (Arachnida, Palpigradida) in the Western Carpathians with remarks on its biology and behaviour. In: Tajovský, K., Balík, V., Pižl, V. (eds.), *Studies on Soil Fauna in Central Europe. Proc. 6th CEWSZ*, ISB AS CR, České Budějovice, p. 93-99
- Kučera, B., 1964. Krasová morfologie a vývoj Ardovskej jaskyne v Jihoslovenském krasu. *Československý kras, NČSAV Praha*, 16: 41-56
- Kučera, B., 1974. Profil sondou v Ardovskej jaskyni. *Československý kras, Academia, Praha*, 25: 108-111
- Kunst, M., 1962. *Oribella cavatica* n. sp., eine neue Moosmilbe aus dem Guano der Fledermäuse (Acarina: Oribatei). *Acta Univ. Carolinae - Biologica - Suppl.*, p. 1-6
- Luptáčik, P., 2003. Oribatid mites (Acarina, Oribatida) of Slovak caves. *Subterranean Biology*, 1: 25-29
- Macko, D., 1996. Problémy prevádzky jaskyne Dómica. In: Zbor. konf. „Sprístupnené jaskyne – ochrana, výskum, využívanie“. *Liptovský Mikuláš*, p. 107-108
- Mašán, P., 2000. Roztoče kohorty Uropodina (Acarina, Mesostigmata) Slovenska. PhD Theses, Ústav zoológie SAV, Bratislava, 282 pp.
- Mlejnek, R., Ducháč, V., 2001. Rozšírenie *Mesoniscus graniger* (Crustacea: Isopoda: Oniscoidea) v Západných Karpatech. *Natura Carpatica, Košice*, 42: 75-88
- Mlejnek, R., Ducháč, V., 2003. Troglobiontná a endogenná výskyt druhu *Mesoniscus graniger* (Crustacea: Isopoda: Oniscoidea) na území Západných Karpát. *Acta Musei Reginahradensis*, A, 29: 71-79
- Miller, F., Kratochvíl, J., 1940. Ein Beitrag zur Revision der mitteleuropäischen Spinnenarten aus der Gattung *Porrhomma* E. Simm. *Zool. Anz.*, 130: 161-190
- Moček, B., 1997. Faunistic records from the Czech and Slovak Republics: Diptera. Phoridae. In: Vaňhara, M., Rozkošný, R. (eds.), *Dipterologica Bohemoslovaca 8. Folia Fac. Sci. Nat. Univ. Masarykianae Brunensis, Biologia*, 95: 220-222
- Mock, A., Kováč, L., Luptáčik, P., Tajovský, K., 2002. Najväčší troglobiont slovenských jaskýň. *Aragonit, Liptovský Mikuláš*, 7: 32-34
- Nosek, J., 1975a. A contribution to the knowledge of the collembolan fauna in the Dómica cave (SE Slovakia). *Věstník Československé společnosti zoologické*, 39 (3): 220-223
- Nosek, J., 1975b. *Arrhopalites slovacicus*, a new species of Collembola from the Dómica cave. *Revue Suisse de Zoologie*, 82 (3): 599-602
- Nováková, A., Elhottová, D., Krištúfek, V., Lukešová, A., Hill, P., Kováč, L., Mock, A., Luptáčik, P., 2005. Feeding sources of invertebrates in Ardovskej cave and Dómica cave systems – preliminary results. In: Tajovský, K., Schlaghamerský, J., Pižl, V. (eds.), *Contributions to Soil Zoology in Central Europe I*. ISB AS CR, České Budějovice, p. 107-112
- Országh, I., 2000. Stonožky (Antennata, Chilopoda) niektorých jaskýň Slovenska. In: Mock, A., Kováč, L., Fulín, M. (eds.), *Fauna jaskýň*. Košice, p. 129-140
- Országh, I., Košel, V., Országhová, Z., 1994. Príspevok k poznaniu stonožiek (Tracheata, Chilopoda) jaskýň Slovenska. *Slovenský kras*, 32: 79-90
- Paclt, J., 1956. Diplura Slovenska a príslušných oblastí. *Biol. práce*, 2, 6: 1-25
- Paclt, J., 1957. Über die Collembolen-Fauna der slowakischen Höhlen. *Beiträge zur Entomologie*, 7, 3/4: 269-275
- Růžička, J., Vávra, J., 1993. Rozšírenie a ekologie brouků rodu *Choleva* (Coleoptera: Leiodidae: Cholevinae) na území Čech, Moravy a Slovenska. *Klapalekiana*, 29: 103-130
- Salmon, S., Ponge, J.F., 2001. Earthworm excreta attract soil springtails: laboratory experiments on *Heteromurus nitidus*

- (Collembola: Entomobryidae). *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 33: 1959-1969
- Staněk, V. J., 1932. Nové přírůstky zoologických sbírek Národního Musea z Hosúsovských jeskýň na Slovensku. *Čas. Nár. musea, odd. přírodov.*, 106: 55-59
- Štašiov, S., Mock, A., Mlejnek, R. (2003). Nové údaje o výskytu koscov (Opiliones) v jaskyniach Slovenska. *Slovenský kras*, 41: 199-207
- Šustek, Z., 2001. First records of two staphylinid species (Coleoptera, Staphylinidae) from Slovakia. *Biologia, Bratislava*, 56 (5): 543
- Uhrin, M., Andreas, M., Benda, P., Reiter, A., 1996. K faune netopierov (Mammalia: Chiroptera) slovenskej časti jaskynného systému Domica-Baradla (CHKO BR Slovenský kras). In: Bella, P. (ed.), Zbor. konf. „Sprístupnené jaskyne – výskum, ochrana a využívanie“. Liptovský Mikuláš, p. 83-94
- Vandel, A., 1965. *Biospeleology: the biology of cavernicolous animals*. Pergamon Press, Oxford, 525 pp.
- Zacharda, M., 1980. Soil mites of the family Rhagidiidae (Actinedida, Eupodoidea). Morphology, systematics, ecology. *Acta Universitatis Carolinae-Biologica*. (1978), 5-6: 489-785
- Zajonc, I., 1961. Dážďovky (Oligochaeta, Lumbricidae) jaskyne Domica. *Slovenský kras, Liptovský Mikuláš*, 3: 74-81
- Zicsi, A., Hevesi, A., Decu, V., 1994. Hongrie. In: Juberthie, C., Decu, V. (eds.), *Encyclopaedia Biospeologica, Tome I. Société de Biospéologie, Moulis-Bucarest*, p. 719-724

Table 2. List of arthropod taxa of the Domica Cave (DC), Čertova Diera Cave (CDC) and Ardovská Cave (AC) recorded in 1997 (s – soil/sediment, d – rotten wood, g– bat guano, k – cadaver of small mammal, n – bait, w – water surface, pet – pitfall trap with ethylalcohol, pfo– pitfall trap with formaldehyde, + 1 individual, ++ 2-9 individuals, +++ 10-100 individuals, ++++ more than 100 individuals. For abbreviations of cave sites see chapter Study area and localities (● - troglobitic species, ○ - eutroglophilous species).

<b>Palpigradida</b>	
● <i>Eukoenia spelaea</i> (Peyerimhoff, 1902)	AC: 3s++
<b>Pseudoscorpionida</b> (indet.)	
	DC: 7d+; AC: 1s+, 3s+
<b>Araneae</b> (indet.)	
	DC: 1s+, 2d?, 4d?, 4pet++, 5g?, 6g?, 7d?, 7n+++; CDC: 3g++, 3pet++; AC: 3pet?, 5g++
<i>Centromerus cavernarum</i> (L.Koch, 1872)	CDC: 3pet++
<i>Pholcus phalangioides</i> (Fuesslin, 1775)	CDC: 2d+
<i>Porrhomma</i> sp.	CDC: 3g+, 3pet++
<b>Opiliones</b>	
	CDC: 3pet++, 3pfo+
<b>Acari</b>	
Oribatida	
<i>Achipteria</i> cf. <i>nitens</i> (Nicolet, 1855)	CDC: 3g++
<i>Acrogalumna hungarica</i> (Willmann, 1938)	AC: 3s+
<i>Atropacarus striculus</i> (C. L. Koch, 1836)	AC: 3s+
<i>Brachychthoniidae</i> sp.	DC: 6g++; CDC: 1s++, 3g++
○ <i>Damaeus lengersdorfi</i> (Willmann, 1954)	DC: 4pet++; CDC: 1s++, 2g+, 3g++, 3pet+, 3pfo+
<i>Damaeus</i> cf. <i>lengersdorfi</i> (Willmann, 1954)	AC: 1d+
<i>Damaeus</i> sp. 1	CDC: 1s++
<i>Damaeus</i> sp. 2	DC: 7n+; CDC: 1s+++; 3g+
<i>Ceratozetes peritus</i> Grandjean, 1951	CDC: 2d++, 2g+++
<i>Chamobates tricuspoidatus</i> Willmann, 1953	CDC: 1s+
○ <i>Dissorhina ornata</i> (Oudemans, 1900)	DC: 5g++++, 6g++, 7d++; CDC: 2d++, 2g++, 3g+++; AC: 3s++, 5g+++
<i>Haplozetes vindobonensis</i> (Willman, 1935)	AC: 3s++
<i>Microppia minus</i> (Paoli, 1908)	AC: 3s+
<i>Minuthozetes</i> sp.	AC: 3s+
○ <i>Multioppia</i> cf. <i>glabra</i> (Miihelčič, 1955)	DC: 7d++; CDC: 1s+++; 2d+++; 2g+++; 2pfo++, 3g+++; AC: 3s++
<i>Oppiella obsoleta</i> (Paoli, 1908)	DC: 7d+
<i>Oppiella</i> cf. <i>marginodentata</i> (Strenzke, 1951)	AC: 3s+
<i>Oribatula tibialis</i> (Nicolet, 1855)	DC: 7n+
<i>Oribella pectinata</i> (Michael, 1885)	DC: 7d++
○ <i>Oribellopsis cavatica</i> (Kunst, 1962)	DC: 6g++; CDC: 2d++, 2g+++; 3g++++; 3pfo+
<i>Spherozetes pyriformis</i> (Nicolet, 1855)	AC: 3s++
<i>Suctobelba</i> sp. 1	DC: 7d++
<i>Suctobelba</i> sp. 2	CDC: 2g+
Actinedida	
Rhagidiidae	
<i>Coccorhagidia pittardi</i> Strandtmann, 1971	AC: 1s+, 3s+
<i>Poecilophysis weyerensis</i> (Packard, 1888)	DC: 7d++
<i>Robustocheles hilli</i> (Strandtmann, 1971)	AC: 3s+
<i>Robustocheles</i> cf. <i>hilli</i> (Strandtmann, 1971)	DC: 7d+
other Actinedida	DC: 1s+, 2d+, 4d++, 4pet+, 5g+, 6g+, 7d+++; CDC: 1s+, 3g++; AC: 1d++, 3s++, 5d++, 5g++
Gamasida	
Gamasina	
<i>Ameroseius plumigerus</i> (Oudemans, 1930)	DC: 1s+; AC: 1d++, 3s++, 5s++
<i>Arctoseius semiscissus</i> (Berlese, 1982)	DC: 7n+++; AC: 1d++
○ <i>Cyrtolaelaps chiropterae</i> Karg, 1971	C: 5g++++, 7d++++; CDC: 2d++, 2g+++; 2k+, 2pfo++; AC: 2pfo++, 6pfo++, 7G++++
○ <i>Cyrtolaelaps mucronatus</i> (G. et R. Canestrini, 1881)	CDC: 1s+, 2d+, 2g+++; 2pfo+++; AC: 1d+, 7g++++
<i>Eulaelaps stabularis</i> (C.L.Koch, 1836)	CDC: 1s+
<i>Geholaspis longispinosus</i> (Kramer, 1876)	DC: 5g++; CDC: 1s++, 3g+
<i>Geholaspis longulus</i> (Berlese, 1887)	CDC: 1s++
<i>Geholaspis mandibularis</i> (Berlese, 1904)	DC: 7d++; CDC: 1s+; AC: 1s++
<i>Hypoaspis aculeifer</i> (Canestrini, 1883)	AC: 5d++
<i>Hypoaspis vacua</i> (Michael, 1891)	DC: 7d+
<i>Hypoaspis</i> sp.	AC: 7g++++
<i>Pachylaelaps longisetis</i> Halbert, 1915	DC: 7d+
○ <i>Paragarmania dendritica</i> (Berlese, 1918)	CDC: 2k+, 2pfo+; AC: 1s++, 1d++, 3s+++; 5s++, 5d++
○ <i>Parasitus loricatus</i> (Wankel, 1861)	DC: 4pet++, 5g++, 6g++; CDC: 2g+, 3g+, 3pet++, 3pfo++; AC: 2pfo++, 3s++, 3n++, 3pet++, 6pfo++, 7g++
<i>Parasitus fimetorum</i> (Berlese, 1903)	DC: 5g+
<i>Pergamasus barbarus</i> Berlese, 1904	CDC: 1s++
<i>Pergamassus crassipes</i> (Linné, 1758)	AC: 1s+
<i>Pergamassus mediocris</i> Berlese, 1904	DC: 7d++
<i>Proctolaelaps pygmaeus</i> (Müller, 1860)	CDC: 1s++
○ <i>Prozercon traegardhi</i> (Halbert, 1923)	DC: 2d++++; 7d++++; AC: 1d++++; 5d+++



Table 2. Continuation.

<i>Saprosecans baloghi</i> Karg, 1964	CDC: 1s++
○ <i>Veigaia exigua</i> (Berlese, 1917)	DC: 2d++, 7d+++; CDC: 1s++, 2g++
<i>Veigaia kochi</i> (Trägårdh, 1901)	CDC: 1s++
○ <i>Veigaia nemorensis</i> (Koch, 1839)	DC: 2d++, 7d++; CDC: 2g++, 3g++; AC: 1d++, 5d++
Uropodina	
<i>Dinychus perforatus</i> Kramer, 1886	DC: 7d+
<i>Nenteria dobrogensis</i> Feider et Hutu, 1971	DC: 5g++
<i>Trachyuropoda</i> sp.	DC: 7d+
○ <i>Uroobovella advena</i> (Trägårdh, 1912)	DC: 5g+++, 6g++++, 7d+++; CDC: 1s+, 2g+++, 3g+++
<i>Uroobovella rackei</i> (Oudemans, 1912)	CDC: 1s++
Acaridida	DC: 2d++, 5g++, 7d++; CDC: 1s+++; AC: 3s++, 5d++++, 5g++++, 6pet+
Tarsonemida	DC: 4d++, 5g++, 6g++++, 7d++; CDC: 2d+, 2g+++, 3g+++; AC: 3s++, 3n+, 5d+, 5g++++
<b>Isopoda</b>	
○ <i>Mesoniscus graniger</i> (Frivaldszky, 1865)	DC: 1n++, 3n+, 7d+++; CDC: 1d+, 2pet+++; 2pfo++, 3g+++; 3pet+++; 3pfo+++; AC: 3n++, 3pet+++; 4pet+++; 5pet+++; 6pet+++
<i>Porcellium conspersum</i> (C.L.Koch, 1841)	DC: 7d+
<i>Trichoniscus cf. pygmaeus</i> Sars, 1899	DC: 7d+
<b>Chilopoda</b>	
<i>Lithobius austriacus</i> Verhoeff, 1937	DC: 4pet+; AC: 3pet+
<b>Diplopoda</b>	
○ <i>Brachychaeteuma bradae</i> (Brolemann et Brade-Birks, 1917)	DC: 7n+
<i>Melogona transsilvanica</i> (Verhoeff, 1897)	AC: 1d+
<i>Polyzonium germanicum</i> Brandt, 1831	AC: 1d+
○ <i>Trachysphaera costata</i> (Waga, 1857)	CDC: 1s+
● <i>Typhloiulus</i> sp.	DC: 7d+
<b>Paupoda</b>	
<i>Allopaupopus gracilis</i> (Hansen, 1902)	CDC: 3g++
<b>Collembola</b>	
● <i>Arrhopalites buekkensis</i> Loksa, 1969	AC: 4pet++, 6pet++++, 6pfo++
<i>Arrhopalites caecus</i> (Tullberg, 1871)	DC: 8w++
○ <i>Arrhopalites pygmaeus</i> (Wankel, 1860)	DC: 8w++; CDC: 2k+; CDC: 2g+, 2d++, 2pfo+, 3g++; AC: 1s+, 1d+, 3s++, 3n++, 3pet+++; 4pet++++, 5g++++, 5pet++
● <i>Arrhopalites slovacicus</i> Nosek, 1975	DC: 1pet+, 4pet+; AC: 3s++
○ <i>Ceratophysella bengtssoni</i> Agren, 1904	DC: 4d++, 5g++, 6g++++, 7d++++, 7n++++; CDC: 1s+++
○ <i>Ceratophysella denticulata</i> (Bagnall, 1941)	DC: 6g++; CDC: 1s++++; CDC: 2g++++, 2d+, 2pet+++; 2pfo++++, 3g++++, 3pet+++; 3pfo++
<i>Ceratophysella scotica</i> Carpenter et Evans, 1899	DC: 7d+
<i>Ceratophysella sigillata</i> (Uzel, 1891)	DC: 6g++
<i>Desoria</i> sp.	DC: 6g++, 7d+
<i>Desoria propinqua</i> (Axelson, 1902)	CDC: 1s++
<i>Deutonura albella</i> (Stach, 1920)	AC: 1d++
● <i>Deuteraphorura cf. kratochvili</i> (Nosek, 1963)	AC: 3s++, 3n++++, 3pet+, 5g++++, 7g++
<i>Endonura cf. szeptyckii</i> Weiner, 1973	DC: 7d+, AC: 1d+++
<i>Entomobrya marginata</i> (Tullberg, 1871)	AC: 5g+
○ <i>Folsomia candida</i> Willem, 1902	DC: 3s++, 4d++++, 7d++, 8w++; AC: 3n+, 5g++++, 5d++++
<i>Folsomia kerni</i> Gisin, 1948	DC: 5g+, 7d+++
<i>Folsomia lawrencei</i> Rusek, 1984	CDC: 1s++, 3g+++
<i>Folsomia penicula</i> Bagnall, 1939	AC: 3s+
<i>Folsomia quadrioculata</i> (Tullberg, 1871)	DC: 3pet+
<i>Folsomides angularis</i> (Axelson, 1905)	AC: 1s+
<i>Friesea albida</i> Stach, 1949	DC: 7d++
<i>Friesea truncata</i> Cassagnau, 1958	DC: 6g+
<i>Heteraphorura variotuberculata</i> (Stach, 1934)	DC: 5g+, 7d+; AC: 3s+
<i>Heteromurus nitidus</i> Templeton, 1835	DC: 4d++++, 7n++, 7pet+, 8w++
<i>Hypogastrura purpurescens</i> Lubbock, 1867	DC: 2w++, 8w++
<i>Isotomiella minor</i> (Schäffer, 1896)	DC: 1n+, 3pet+, 1s+++; AC: 3s++
<i>Kalaphorura carpenteri</i> (Stach, 1919)	CDC: 1s+, 2g+++, 2d++, 3g++, 3pfo+
<i>Lepidocyrtus lanuginosus</i> (Gmelin, 1788)	AC: 7g+
<i>Lepidocyrtus lignorum</i> (Fabricius, 1775)	CDC: 2d+
<i>Lepidocyrtus violaceus</i> (Fourcroy, 1785)	DC: 7d++
<i>Megalothorax incertus</i> Börner, 1903	DC: 4d++, 6g++, 8w+; CDC: 1s+, 2d+; AC: 3s+, 5d++
○ <i>Megalothorax minimus</i> Willem, 1900	DC: 2d+, 7d+++; CDC: 2d++, 3g++; AC: 1d++++, 3s++, 5d+
<i>Mesaphorura critica</i> Ellis, 1976	DC: 4d+++; AC: 5g+
<i>Mesaphorura hylophila</i> Rusek, 1982	DC: 2d++
<i>Mesaphorura italica</i> Rusek, 1971	DC: 4d++, 7d+; CDC: 2g++, 2d++, 3g++; AC: 5g++

Table 2. Continuation.

<i>Mesaphorura jirii</i> Rusek, 1982	AC: 5g+++; 5d++
<i>Mesaphorura macrochaeta</i> Rusek, 1976	DC: 2d++, 4d+++, 6d++, 7d++
<i>Mesaphorura tenuisensillata</i> Rusek, 1974	DC: 6g+++
<i>Mesaphorura cf. pongei</i> Rusek, 1982	DC: 2d+
<i>Micraphorura absoloni</i> (Börner, 1901)	DC: 5g+
<i>Oncopodura crassicornis</i> Shoebotam, 1911	DC: 7d++
<i>Orchesella bifasciata</i> Nicolet, 1842	CDC: 3g+
<i>Orthonychiurus rectopapillatus</i> (Stach, 1933)	DC: 7d++
<i>Parisotoma notabilis</i> (Schäffer, 1896)	DC: 7d+; AC: 1d+++
<i>Pogonognathellus flavescens</i> (Tullberg, 1871)	CDC: 2k+
● <i>Proisotoma minuta</i> (Tullberg, 1871)	DC: 8w+
<i>Protaphorura armata</i> Tullberg, 1869	DC: 2w+, 3pet+, 4d+, 7d+, 8w++; CDC: 2g+++; 2pfo+, 5g++; AC: 1s++++, 1d++++, 3s++, 3n+++
<i>Protaphorura aurantiaca</i> (Ridley 1880)	DC: 7d++; AC: 3s+
<i>Protaphorura campata</i> (Gisin, 1952)	DC: 7d++
<i>Protaphorura pseudovanderdrifti</i> (Gisin, 1957)	CDC: 1s+++
<i>Pseudachorutes palmiensis</i> Börner, 1903	AC: 2pfo+, 3s++
● <i>Pseudosinella aggtelekiensis</i> (Stach, 1929)	CDC: 2pet+, 3pet++; AC: 3s+, 3pet+++; 4pet++++, 5pet++, 6pet++++, 6pfo++
<i>Pseudosinella horaki</i> Rusek, 1985	CDC: 2k+
<i>Stenaphorurella quadrispina</i> (Börner, 1901)	DC: 6g+
<i>Supraphorura furcifera</i> (Börner, 1901)	DC: 6g++
<i>Tomocerus</i> sp.	DC: 7d+
<i>Wankeliella petterseni</i> Rusek, 1975	CDC: 2d+
<i>Willemia scandinavica</i> Stach, 1949	DC: 6g++
<b>Psocoptera</b>	
<i>Lepinotus inquilinus</i> Heyden, 1850	CDC: 2pfo+
<i>Psyllipsocus ramburii</i> Sélys-Longchamps, 1872	CDC: 3pfo+
<b>Coleoptera</b>	
Carabidae	
● <i>Trechus austriacus</i> Dejean, 1831	DC: 1n+, 3pfo+, 7pet++
Staphylinidae	
● <i>Atheta spelaea</i> (Erichson, 1840)	AC: 3s+
● <i>Quedius mesomelinus</i> (Marshall, 1802)	DC: 4pet+, 7pet+; AC: 3pet++, 5pet+
Leiodidae	
<i>Catops longulus</i> Kellner, 1846	DC: 4pet+, DC: 5pet+
Cryptophagidae	
<i>Caenoscelis subdeplanata</i> Brisout de Barneville, 1882	AC: 5pet+
<i>Cryptophagus thomsoni</i> Reitter, 1875	DC: 4pet++
Ptiliidae	
<i>Ptenidium punctatum</i> (Gyllenhal, 1827)	DC: 7d+
<b>Diptera</b>	
Trichoceridae	
● <i>Trichocera regelationis</i> (Linné, 1758)	DC: 7pet++; CDC: 3pet+++; AC: 2pfo++, 3pet++, 5pet++
Sciaridae	
● <i>Bradysia forficulata</i> (Bezzi, 1914)	DC: 7pet+++; CDC: 3 pet++, 3pfo++; AC: 2pfo++, 3pet++++, 5pet++++
Phoridae:	
Sphaeroceridae	
AC: 5pet++	
<b>Siphonaptera</b>	
<i>Ctenophthalmus solutus</i> Jordan et Rothschild, 1920	CDC: 2k+